

THE CHRISTY REFRACTORIES COMPANY, LLC
**FIRST AMENDED ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY
TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES**

**THE CHRISTY REFRACTORIES COMPANY, LLC
 FIRST AMENDED ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST
 DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section I	Introduction.....	1
1.1.	Purpose.....	1
1.2.	Interpretation.....	2
Section II	Overview.....	2
2.1.	Asbestos PI Trust Goals.....	2
2.2.	Claims Liquidation Procedures.....	3
2.3.	Application of the Payment Percentage.....	5
2.4.	Determination of the Maximum Annual Payment and Maximum Available Payment.....	7
2.5.	Claims Payment Ratio.....	8
2.6.	Indirect Asbestos PI Claims.....	11
Section III	Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures Administration.....	11
3.1.	Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and Future Claimants’ Representative.....	11
3.2.	Consent and Consultation Procedures.....	12
Section IV	Payment Percentage; Periodic Estimates.....	12
4.1.	Uncertainty of Christy's Personal Injury Asbestos Liabilities.....	12
4.2.	Computation of Payment Percentage.....	12
4.3.	Applicability of the Payment Percentage.....	14
Section V	Resolution of Asbestos PI Claims.....	16
5.1.	Ordering, Processing and Payment of Claims.	16
(a)	Ordering of Claims.	16
(1)	Establishment of FIFO Processing Queues.....	16
(2)	Effect of Statutes of Limitations and Repose.....	17
(b)	Processing of Claims.....	18
(c)	Payment of Claims.....	18
5.2.	Resolution of Unliquidated Asbestos PI Claims.....	20
(a)	Expedited Review Process.....	20
(1)	In General.....	21
(2)	Claims Processing under Expedited Review.....	22
(3)	Disease Levels, Scheduled Values and Medical/Exposure Criteria.....	22
(b)	Individual Review Process.....	26
(1)	In General.....	26
(A)	Review of Medical/Exposure Criteria.....	28
(B)	Review of Liquidated Value.....	28
(2)	Valuation Factors to Be Considered in Individual Review.....	29
(3)	Scheduled, Average and Maximum Values.....	31
(4)	Claims Processing Under Individual Review.....	32
5.3.	Categorizing Claims as Extraordinary and/or Exigent.	33

(a)	Extraordinary Claims	33
(b)	Exigent Claims.....	34
	(1) Exigent Health Claims	31
	(2) Exigent Hardship Claims	31
5.4.	Secondary Exposure Claims	35
5.5.	Indirect Asbestos PI Claims.....	35
5.6.	Evidentiary Requirements.....	38
	(a) Medical Evidence.....	38
	(1) In General.....	38
	(A) Disease Levels I – IV	38
	(B) Disease Levels V – VIII.....	39
	(C) Exception to the Exception for Certain Pre-Petition Asbestos PI Claims	40
	(2) Credibility of Medical Evidence	40
	(b) Exposure Evidence.....	41
	(1) In General.....	41
	(2) Significant Occupational Exposure.....	42
	(3) Christy Exposure.....	42
5.7.	Claims Audit Program	43
5.8.	Second Disease (Malignancy) Claims	44
5.9.	Arbitration	44
	(a) Establishment of ADR Procedures	44
	(b) Claims Eligible for Arbitration	46
	(d) Limitations on and Payment of Arbitration Awards.....	46
5.10.	Litigation.....	47
Section VI	Claims Materials	47
6.1.	Claims Materials	47
6.2.	Content of Claims Materials	48
6.3.	Withdrawal or Deferral of Claims	48
6.4.	Filing Requirements and Fees.....	49
6.5.	Confidentiality of Claimants’ Submissions	49
Section VII	General Guidelines for Liquidating and Paying Claims	50
7.1.	Showing Required.....	50
7.2.	Costs Considered	50
7.3.	Discretion to Vary the Order and Amounts of Payments in Event of Limited Liquidity	51
7.4.	Punitive Damages	52
7.5.	Sequencing Adjustments.....	53
	(a) In General.....	53
	(b) Unliquidated Asbestos PI Claims	54
	(c) Qualified Asbestos PI Claims	54
7.6.	Suits in the Tort System.....	54
7.7.	Payment of Judgments for Money Damages	55
7.8.	Releases.....	56
7.9.	Third-Party Services	56
7.10.	Asbestos PI Trust Disclosure of Information.....	57

Section VIII	Miscellaneous	57
8.1.	Amendments	57
8.2.	Severability	58
8.3.	Governing Law	58
8.4.	Merger of Asbestos PI Trust Assets with Other Trusts	58

THE CHRISTY REFRACTORIES COMPANY, LLC
FIRST AMENDED ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST

DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES

The Christy Refractories Company, LLC First Amended Asbestos Personal Injury Trust Distribution Procedures (these “Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures”) contained herein provide for resolving all “Asbestos PI Claims” as defined in the Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code For The Christy Refractories Company, LLC Dated December 7, 2010 (as it may be amended or modified, the “Plan”), including all asbestos-related personal injury and death claims caused by exposure to asbestos-containing products, or to conduct that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product, for which The Christy Refractories Company, LLC or any of its predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively, “Christy”) have alleged legal responsibility as provided in and required by the Plan and The Christy Refractories Company, LLC Asbestos Personal Injury Trust Agreement (the “Asbestos PI Trust Agreement”). The Plan and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement establish The Christy Refractories Company, LLC Asbestos Personal Injury Trust (the “Asbestos PI Trust”). The Asbestos PI Trustee shall implement and administer these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures in accordance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Plan and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. For purposes of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, “Asbestos PI Claims” shall not include Asbestos PI Trust Expenses.

SECTION I
Introduction

1.1. **Purpose.** These Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures have been adopted pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. It is designed to provide fair, equitable, and

substantially similar treatment for all Asbestos PI Claims that may presently exist or may arise in the future.

1.2. Interpretation. Except as may otherwise be provided below, nothing in these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures shall be deemed to create a substantive right for any claimant. The rights and benefits provided herein to holders of Asbestos PI Claims shall vest in such holders as of the Effective Date.

SECTION II Overview

2.1. Asbestos PI Trust Goals. The goal of the Asbestos PI Trust is to treat all claimants similarly and equitably and in accordance with the requirements of Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. These Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures further that goal by setting forth procedures for processing and paying Christy's several share of the unpaid portion of the liquidated value of Asbestos PI Claims generally on an impartial, first-in-first-out ("FIFO") basis, with the intention of paying all claimants over time as equivalent a share as possible of the value of their claims based on historical values for substantially similar claims in the tort system.¹ To this end, these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures establish a schedule of eight asbestos-related diseases ("Disease Levels"), seven of which have presumptive medical and exposure requirements ("Medical/Exposure Criteria"), and specific liquidated values ("Scheduled Values"), and seven of which have both anticipated average values ("Average Values"), and caps on their liquidated values ("Maximum Values"). The Disease Levels, Medical/Exposure Criteria, Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values, which are set forth in Sections 5.3 and 5.4 below, have all been selected and derived with the intention of

¹ As used in these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, the phrase "in the tort system" shall not include claims asserted against a trust established for the benefit of asbestos personal injury claimants pursuant to section 524(g) and/or section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

achieving a fair allocation of the Asbestos PI Trust funds as among claimants suffering from different diseases in light of the best available information considering the settlement history of Christy and the rights claimants would have in the tort system absent the bankruptcy.

2.2. Claims Liquidation Procedures. Asbestos PI Claims shall be processed based on their place in the FIFO Processing Queue to be established pursuant to Section 5.1(a) below. The Asbestos PI Trust shall take all reasonable steps to resolve Asbestos PI Claims as efficiently and expeditiously as possible at each stage of claims processing, including arbitration, which steps may include, in the Asbestos PI Trust's sole discretion, conducting settlement discussions with claimants' representatives with respect to more than one claim at a time, provided that the claimants' respective positions in the FIFO Processing Queue are maintained, and each claim is individually evaluated pursuant to the valuation factors set forth in Section 5.3(b)(2) below. The Asbestos PI Trust shall also make every reasonable effort to resolve each year at least that number of Asbestos PI Claims required to exhaust the Maximum Annual Payment and the Maximum Available Payment for Category A and Category B claims, as those terms are defined below.

The Asbestos PI Trust shall, except as otherwise provided below, liquidate all Asbestos PI Claims except Foreign Claims (as defined in Section 5.3(b)(1) below) that meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria of Disease Levels I – V, VII and VIII under the Expedited Review Process described in Section 5.3(a) below. Asbestos PI Claims involving Disease Levels I – V, VII and VIII that do not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level may undergo the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review Process described in Section 5.3(b) below. In such a case, notwithstanding that the claim does not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level, the Asbestos PI Trust

may offer the claimant an amount up to the Scheduled Value of that Disease Level if the Asbestos PI Trust is satisfied that the claimant has presented a claim that would be cognizable and valid in the tort system.

In lieu of liquidating Asbestos PI Claims involving Disease Levels II –V, VII and VIII under the Expedited Review Process, a claimant holding an Asbestos PI Claim involving Disease Level II, III, IV, V, VII or VIII may alternatively seek to establish a liquidated value for the claim that is greater than its Scheduled Value by electing the Asbestos PI Trust’s Individual Review Process pursuant to Section 5.3(b) below. However, the liquidated value of an Asbestos PI Claim that undergoes the Individual Review Process for valuation purposes may be determined to be less than the Scheduled Value for the applicable Disease Level, and in any event shall not exceed the Maximum Value for the relevant Disease Level set forth in Section 5.3(b)(3) below, unless the claim qualifies as an Extraordinary Claim as defined in Section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum extraordinary value specified in Section 5.4(a) for such claims. Claims involving Disease Level VI (Lung Cancer 2) and all Foreign Claims may be liquidated only pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust’s Individual Review Process.

The Scheduled Values and Maximum Values set forth in Section 5.3(b)(3) have been established for claims involving Disease Levels II through VIII, which are eligible for Individual Review of their liquidated values, with the expectation that the combination of settlements at the Scheduled Values and those resulting from the Individual Review Process should result in the Average Values also set forth in that provision.

All unresolved disputes over a claimant’s medical condition, exposure history and/or the liquidated value of the claim shall be subject to binding or non-binding arbitration as set forth in

Section 5.10 below, at the election of the claimant, under the alternative dispute resolution procedures (the “ADR Procedures”) to be adopted by the Asbestos PI Trust as provided in Section 5.10 below. Asbestos PI Claims that are the subject of a dispute with the Asbestos PI Trust that cannot be resolved by non-binding arbitration may enter the tort system as provided in Sections 5.11 and 7.6 below. However, if and when a claimant obtains a judgment in the tort system, the judgment shall be payable (subject to the Payment Percentage, Maximum Available Payment, and Claims Payment Ratio provisions set forth below) as provided in Section 7.7 below.

2.3. Application of the Payment Percentage. After the liquidated value of an Asbestos PI Claim, other than a claim involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I – Cash Discount Payment) as defined in Section 5.3(a)(3) below, is determined pursuant to the procedures set forth herein for Expedited Review, Individual Review, arbitration, or litigation in the tort system, the claimant shall ultimately receive a pro-rata share of that value based on the Payment Percentage described in Section 4.2 below. The Payment Percentage shall also apply to all sequencing adjustments paid pursuant to Section 7.5 below.

The initial Payment Percentage (the “Initial Payment Percentage”) has been set at 11 percent (11%) and shall apply to all Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims accepted as valid by the Asbestos PI Trust, unless adjusted by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants’ Representative pursuant to Section 4.2 below, and except as provided in Section 4.3 below with respect to supplemental payments in the event the Initial Payment Percentage is changed. The term “Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims” means (i) Qualified Asbestos PI Claims; (ii) claims filed against Christy in the tort system, actually submitted to Christy pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement prior to the

Commencement Date of October 29, 2008; and (iii) all asbestos claims filed against another defendant in the tort system prior to the Commencement Date, provided, however, that (1) the holder of a claim described in subsection (i), (ii) or (iii) above, or his or her authorized agent, actually voted to accept or reject the Plan pursuant to the voting procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court, unless such holder certifies to the satisfaction of the Asbestos PI Trustee that he or she was prevented from voting in this proceeding as a result of circumstances resulting in a state of emergency affecting, as the case may be, the holder's residence, principal place of business or legal representative's place of business at which the holder or his or her legal representative receives notice and/or maintains material records relating to the claim; and provided further that (2) the claim was subsequently filed with the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to Section 6.1 below by the Initial Claims Filing Date defined in Section 5.1(a) below. The Initial Payment Percentage has been calculated based upon (i) the Scheduled Values set forth in Section 5.3(b)(3) below with respect to existing present claims and projected future claims involving Disease Levels I-III, and (ii) the assumption that the Average Values set forth in Section 5.3(b)(3) below will be achieved with respect to existing present claims and projected future claims involving Disease Levels IV – VIII.

The Payment Percentage may thereafter be adjusted upwards or downwards from time to time by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative to reflect then-current estimates of the Asbestos PI Trust's assets and liabilities, as well as the then-estimated value of then-pending and future claims. Any adjustment to the Initial Payment Percentage shall be made only pursuant to Section 4.2 below. If the Payment Percentage is increased over time, claimants whose claims were liquidated and paid in prior periods under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures shall receive

additional payments only as provided in Section 4.3 below. Because there is uncertainty in the prediction of both the number and severity of future Asbestos PI Claims, and the amount of the Asbestos PI Trust's assets, no guarantee can be made of any Payment Percentage that will be applied to an Asbestos PI Claim's liquidated value.

2.4. Determination of the Maximum Annual Payment and Maximum Available Payment. The Asbestos PI Trust shall estimate or model the amount of cash flow anticipated to be necessary over its entire life to ensure that funds shall be available to treat all present and future holders of Asbestos PI Claims as similarly as possible. In each year, the Asbestos PI Trust shall be empowered to pay out all of the income earned during the year (net of taxes payable with respect thereto), together with a portion of its principal, calculated so that the application of the Asbestos PI Trust's funds over its life shall correspond with the needs created by the estimated initial backlog of claims and the estimated anticipated future flow of claims (the "Maximum Annual Payment"), taking into account the Payment Percentage provisions set forth in Section 2.3 above and Sections 4.2 and 4.3 below. The Maximum Annual Payment shall be determined annually by the Asbestos PI Trustee with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative. The Asbestos PI Trust's distributions to all claimants for that year shall not exceed the Maximum Annual Payment determined for that year plus any amounts rolled over from earlier years as provided in Section 2.5 below.

Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, the Maximum Annual Payment shall not apply to Qualified Asbestos PI Claims, and Qualified Asbestos PI Claims shall be paid as provided in the Plan.

In distributing the Maximum Annual Payment, the Asbestos PI Trust shall first allocate the amount in question to Asbestos PI Claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I – Cash Discount Payment) that have been liquidated by the Asbestos PI Trust. Should the Maximum Annual Payment be insufficient to pay all such claims in full, the available funds shall be paid to the maximum extent to claimants based on their place in the FIFO Payment Queue. Claims for which there are insufficient funds shall maintain their place in the FIFO Payment Queue and shall be carried over to the next year. The remaining portion of the Maximum Annual Payment (the “Maximum Available Payment”), if any, shall then be allocated and used to satisfy all other liquidated Asbestos PI Claims, subject to the Claims Payment Ratio set forth in Section 2.5 below. Asbestos PI Claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I – Cash Discount Payment) shall not be subject to the Claims Payment Ratio.

2.5. Claims Payment Ratio. Based upon Christy’s claims settlement history and analysis of present and future claims, a Claims Payment Ratio has been determined, which, as of the Effective Date, has been set at 90% for Category A claims, which consist of Asbestos PI Claims involving severe asbestosis and malignancies (Disease Levels IV – VIII) that were unliquidated as of the Commencement Date, and at 10% for Category B claims, which are Asbestos PI Claims involving non-malignant Asbestosis or Pleural Disease (Disease Levels II and III) that were similarly unliquidated as of the Commencement Date. The Claims Payment Ratio shall not apply to any Qualified Asbestos PI Claims or to any claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I – Cash Discount Payment).

In each year, after the determination of the Maximum Available Payment described in Section 2.4 above, 90% of that amount will be available to pay Category A claims and 10% will be available to pay Category B claims placed in the FIFO Payment Queue described in Section

5.1(c) below. In the event there are insufficient funds in any year to pay the liquidated claims within either or both of the Categories, the available funds allocated to the particular Category shall be paid to the maximum extent to claimants in that Category based on their place in the FIFO Payment Queue. Claims for which there are insufficient funds allocated to the relevant Category shall be carried over to the next year where they shall be placed at the head of the FIFO Payment Queue. If there are excess funds in either or both Categories, because there is an insufficient amount of liquidated claims to exhaust the respective Maximum Available Payment amount for that Category, then the excess funds for either or both Categories shall be rolled over and remain dedicated to the respective Category to which they were originally allocated. Notwithstanding the foregoing, whenever the Trust reconsiders the Payment Percentage pursuant to Sections 2.3 and 4.2, and subsequently determines the Maximum Annual Payment pursuant to Section 2.4, any excess funds that were rolled over shall be reduced or eliminated, as the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, determines appropriate.

In the event that the available funds in either Category A or B exceed the amounts payable to liquidated claims in such Category for two consecutive years, then the Asbestos PI Trustee shall re-evaluate the claims Payment Ratio in light of claims liquidation and payment experience of the Asbestos PI Trust and propose (i) maintenance of the then-existing Claims Payment Ratio, or (ii) establishment of a different Claims Payment Ratio which more accurately reflects past and likely future claims liquidation and payment needs. Any change in the Claims Payment Ratio shall take effect, prospectively, only with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative. In the event that the available funds in either Category A or Category B exceed amounts payable to liquidated claims in such

Category for three or more consecutive years, then the excess amount attributable to the third and succeeding years shall be transferred to the other Category for payment to claims liquidated and payable in such other Category.

The 90%/10% Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provision shall apply to all Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims (except claims that, pursuant to Section 2.5 above, are not subject to the Claims Payment Ratio) and shall not be amended until the second anniversary of the date the Asbestos PI Trust first accepts for processing proof of claim forms and other materials required to file a claim with the Asbestos PI Trust. Thereafter, both the Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provision shall be continued or recalibrated in order to approximately reflect the actual number of Asbestos PI Claims, including Qualified Asbestos PI Claims, that have been paid pursuant to these Trust Distribution Procedures.

In considering whether to make any amendments to the Claims Payment Ratio and/or its rollover provisions, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall consider the reasons for which the Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provisions were adopted, the settlement history that gave rise to its calculation, and the foreseeability or lack of foreseeability of the reasons why there would be any need to make an amendment. In that regard, the Asbestos PI Trustee should keep in mind the interplay between the Payment Percentage and the Claims Payment Ratio as it affects the net cash actually paid to claimants.

In any event, no amendment to the Claims Payment Ratio (i) to reduce the percentage allocated to Category A claims may be made without the unanimous consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee members and the consent of the Future Claimants' Representative and (ii) to increase the percentage allocated to Category A claims may be made without the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the consent of the Future Claimants'

Representative. In the case of any amendments to the Claims Payment Ratio, the consent process set forth in Sections 6.7(b) and 7.7(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement shall apply.

The Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, may offer the option of a reduced Payment Percentage to holders of claims in either Category A or Category B in return for prompter payment (the "Reduced Payment Option").

2.6. Indirect Asbestos PI Claims. As set forth in Section 5.6 below, Indirect Asbestos PI Claims, if any, shall be subject to the same categorization, evaluation, and payment provisions of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures as all other Asbestos PI Claims.

SECTION III

Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures Administration

3.1. Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and Future Claimants' Representative. Pursuant to the Plan and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, the Asbestos PI Trust and these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures shall be administered by the Asbestos PI Trustee in consultation with the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee, which represents the interests of holders of present Asbestos PI Claims, and the Future Claimants' Representative, who represents the interests of holders of Asbestos PI Claims that will be asserted in the future. The Asbestos PI Trustee shall obtain the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative on any amendments to these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures pursuant to Section 8.1 below, and on such other matters as are otherwise required below and in Section 2.2(e) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. The Asbestos PI Trustee shall also consult with the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative on such matters as are provided below and in Section 2.2(d) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. The initial Asbestos PI Trustee, the initial members of the Asbestos PI Trust

Advisory Committee and the initial Future Claimants' Representative are identified in the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

3.2. Consent and Consultation Procedures. In those circumstances in which consultation or consent is required, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall provide written notice to the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative of the specific amendment or other action that is proposed. The Asbestos PI Trustee shall not implement such amendment nor take such action unless and until the parties have engaged in the Consultation Process described in Sections 5.7(a) and 6.6(a), or the Consent Process described in Sections 5.7(b) and 6.6(b), of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, respectively.

SECTION IV Payment Percentage; Periodic Estimates

4.1. Uncertainty of Christy's Personal Injury Asbestos Liabilities. As discussed above, there is inherent uncertainty regarding Christy's total asbestos-related tort liabilities, as well as the total value of the assets available to the Asbestos PI Trust to pay Asbestos PI Claims. Consequently, there is inherent uncertainty regarding the amounts that holders of Asbestos PI Claims shall receive. To seek to ensure substantially equivalent treatment of all present and future Asbestos PI Claims, the Asbestos PI Trustee must determine from time to time the percentage of full liquidated value that holders of present and future Asbestos PI Claims shall be likely to receive, *i.e.*, the "Payment Percentage" described in Section 2.3 above and Section 4.2 below.

4.2. Computation of Payment Percentage. As set forth in Section 2.3 above, the Initial Payment Percentage was set at 11%. On November 1, 2016, the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI TAC and FCR increased the Payment Percentage. The current Payment Percentage is 40%. The Payment Percentage shall apply to all Asbestos PI Trust

Claims, unless the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, determines that the Payment Percentage should be changed to assure that the Asbestos PI Trust shall be in a financial position to pay present and future holders of Asbestos PI Claims in substantially the same manner.

In making any such adjustment, the Asbestos PI Trustee, the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative shall take into account the fact that the holders of Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims voted on the Plan relying on the findings of experts that the Initial Payment Percentage represented a reasonably reliable estimate of the PI Trust's total assets and liabilities over its life based on the best information available at the time, and shall thus give due consideration to the expectations of holders of Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims that the Initial Payment Percentage would be applied to their Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims.

The Payment Percentage shall be subject to change pursuant to the terms of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement if the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, determine that an adjustment is required. No less frequently than once every three (3) years, commencing with the first day of January occurring after the Effective Date, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall reconsider the then-applicable Payment Percentage to assure that it is based on accurate, current information and may, after such reconsideration, change the Payment Percentage if necessary with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative. The Asbestos PI Trustee shall also reconsider the then-applicable Payment Percentage at shorter intervals if they deem such

reconsideration to be appropriate or if requested to do so by the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee or the Future Claimants' Representative.

The Asbestos PI Trustee must base his determination of the Payment Percentage on current estimates of the number, types, and values of present and future Asbestos PI Claims, the value of the assets then available to the Asbestos PI Trust for their payment, all anticipated administrative and legal expenses, and any other material matters that are reasonably likely to affect the sufficiency of funds to pay a comparable percentage of full value to all present and future holders of Asbestos PI Claims. When making these determinations, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall exercise common sense and flexibly evaluate all relevant factors. The Payment Percentage applicable to Category A or Category B claims may not be reduced to alleviate delays in payments of claims in the other Category; both Categories of claims shall receive the same Payment Percentage, but the payment may be deferred as needed pursuant to Section 7.3 below, and a Reduced Payment Option may be instituted as described in Section 2.5 above.

4.3. Applicability of the Payment Percentage. Except as otherwise provided in Section 5.1(c) below for Asbestos PI Claims involving deceased or incompetent claimants for which approval of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer by a court or through a probate process is required, no holder of any Asbestos PI Claims, other than an Asbestos PI Claim for Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I - Cash Discount Payment), shall receive a payment that exceeds the liquidated value of the claim times the Payment Percentage in effect at the time of payment. Asbestos PI Claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I – Cash Discount Payment) shall not be subject to the Payment Percentage, but shall instead be paid the full amount of their Scheduled Value as set forth in Section 5.3(a)(3) below.

If a redetermination of the Payment Percentage has been proposed in writing by the Asbestos PI Trustee to the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, but has not yet been adopted, the claimant shall receive the lower of the current Payment Percentage or the proposed Payment Percentage. However, if the proposed Payment Percentage was the lower amount but was not subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed amount and the higher current amount. Conversely, if the proposed Payment Percentage was the higher amount and was subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower current amount and the higher adopted amount.

There is uncertainty surrounding the amount of the Asbestos PI Trust's future assets. There is also uncertainty surrounding the totality of the Asbestos PI Claims to be paid over time, as well as the extent to which changes in existing federal and state law could affect the Asbestos PI Trust's liabilities under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. If the value of the Asbestos PI Trust's future assets increases significantly and/or if the value or volume of Asbestos PI Trust claims actually filed with the Asbestos PI Trust is significantly lower than originally estimated, the Asbestos PI Trust shall use those proceeds and/or claims savings, as the case may be, first to maintain the Payment Percentage then in effect.

If the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, makes a determination to increase the Payment Percentage due to a material change in the estimates of the Asbestos PI Trust's future assets and/or liabilities, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall also make supplemental payments to all claimants who previously liquidated their claims against the Asbestos PI Trust and received payments based on a lower Payment Percentage. The amount of any such supplemental payment

shall be the liquidated value of the Asbestos PI Claim in question times the newly adjusted Payment Percentage, less all amounts previously paid to the claimant with respect to the claim (excluding the portion of such previously paid amounts that was attributable to any sequencing adjustment paid pursuant to Section 7.5 below).

The Asbestos PI Trustee's obligation to make a supplemental payment to a claimant shall be suspended in the event the payment in question would be less than \$100.00, and the amount of the suspended payment shall be added to the amount of any prior supplemental payment/payments that was/were also suspended because it/they would have been less than \$100.00. However, the Asbestos PI Trustee's obligation shall resume and the Asbestos PI Trustee shall pay any such aggregate supplemental payments due the claimant at such time that the total exceeds \$100.00.

SECTION V

Resolution of Asbestos PI Claims

5.1. Ordering, Processing and Payment of Claims.

(a) Ordering of Claims.

(1) Establishment of FIFO Processing Queues. The Asbestos PI Trust shall order all claims that are sufficiently complete to be reviewed for processing purposes on a FIFO basis except as otherwise provided herein (the "FIFO Processing Queue"). Qualified Asbestos PI Claims do not require processing by the Asbestos PI Trust and thus shall not be placed in the FIFO Processing Queue. For all claims filed on or before the date six (6) months after the date that the Asbestos PI Trust first makes available the proof of claim forms and other claims materials required to file a claim with the Asbestos PI Trust (the "Initial Claims Filing Date"), a claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined as of the earliest of (i) the date prior to the Commencement Date that the specific claim was either filed against Christy in

the tort system or was actually submitted to Christy pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement; (ii) the date before the Commencement Date that the asbestos claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system if at the time the claim was subject to a tolling agreement with Christy; (iii) the date after the Commencement Date but before the date that the Asbestos PI Trust first makes available the proof of claim forms and other claims materials required to file a claim with the Asbestos PI Trust that the asbestos claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system; or (iv) the date a ballot was submitted on behalf of the claimant for purposes of voting to accept or reject the Plan pursuant to voting procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

Following the Initial Claims Filing Date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the date the claim is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust, provided such claim is sufficiently complete, as defined in the Asbestos PI Trust's claim filing instructions. If any claims are filed on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the date of the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease. If any claims are filed and diagnosed on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the claimant's date of birth, with older claimants given priority over younger claimants.

(2) Effect of Statutes of Limitations and Repose. All unliquidated Asbestos PI Claims must meet either: (i) for claims first filed in the tort system against Christy prior to the Commencement Date, the applicable federal, state and foreign statute of limitations and repose that was in effect at the time of the filing of the claim in the tort system; or (ii) for claims not filed against Christy in the tort system prior to the Commencement Date, the applicable federal, state or foreign statute of limitations that was in effect at the time of the filing with the Asbestos

PI Trust. However, the running of the relevant statute of limitations shall be tolled as of the earliest of: (A) the actual filing of the claim against Christy prior to the Commencement Date, whether in the tort system or by submission of the claim to Christy pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement; (B) the tolling of the claim against Christy prior to the Commencement Date by an agreement or otherwise, provided such tolling was still in effect on the Commencement Date; or (C) the Commencement Date.

If an Asbestos PI Claim meets any of the tolling provisions described in the preceding sentence and the claim was not barred by the applicable federal, state or foreign statute of limitations at the time of the tolling event, it shall be treated as timely filed if it is actually filed with the Asbestos PI Trust within three (3) years after the Initial Claims Filing Date. In addition, any claims that were first diagnosed after the Commencement Date, irrespective of the application of any relevant federal, state or foreign statute of limitations or repose, may be filed with the Asbestos PI Trust within three (3) years after the date of diagnosis or within three (3) years after the Initial Claims Filing Date, whichever occurs later. However, the processing of any Asbestos PI Claim by the Asbestos PI Trust may be deferred at the election of the claimant pursuant to Section 6.3 below.

(b) Processing of Claims. As a general practice, the Asbestos PI Trust shall review its claims files on a regular basis and notify all claimants whose claims are likely to come up in the FIFO Processing Queue in the near future.

(c) Payment of Claims. Asbestos PI Claims that have been liquidated by the Expedited Review Process as provided in Section 5.2(a) below, by the Individual Review Process as provided in Section 5.2(b) below, by arbitration as provided in Section 5.10 below, or by litigation in the tort system as provided in Section 5.11 below, shall be paid in FIFO order

based on the date their liquidation became final (the “FIFO Payment Queue”), all such payments being subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment, the Claims Payment Ratio and the sequencing adjustment provided for in Section 7.5 below, except as otherwise provided herein. Qualified Asbestos PI Claims shall be paid by the Asbestos PI Trust as provided in the Plan and shall not be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue.

Where the claimant is deceased or incompetent, and the settlement and payment of his or her claim must be approved by a court of competent jurisdiction or through a probate process prior to acceptance of the claim by the claimant’s representative, an offer made by the Asbestos PI Trust on the claim shall remain open so long as proceedings before that court or in that probate process remain pending, provided that the Asbestos PI Trust has been furnished with evidence that the settlement offer has been submitted to such court or in that probate process for approval. If the offer is ultimately approved by the court or through the probate process and accepted by the claimant’s representative, the Asbestos PI Trust shall pay the claim in the amount so offered, multiplied by the Payment Percentage in effect at the time the offer was first made.

If any claims are liquidated on the same date, the claimant’s position in the FIFO Payment Queue shall be determined by the date of the diagnosis of the claimant’s asbestos-related disease. If any claims are liquidated on the same date and the respective claimants’ asbestos-related diseases were diagnosed on the same date, the position of those claimants’ in the FIFO Payment Queue shall be determined by the Asbestos PI Trust based on the dates of the claimants’ births, with older claimants given priority over younger claimants.

5.2. Resolution of Unliquidated Asbestos PI Claims. Within six (6) months after the establishment of the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, shall adopt procedures for reviewing and liquidating all unliquidated Asbestos PI Claims, which shall include deadlines for processing such claims. Such procedures shall also require that claimants seeking resolution of unliquidated Asbestos PI Claims must first file a proof of claim form, together with the required supporting documentation, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 6.1 and 6.2 below. It is anticipated that the Asbestos PI Trust shall provide an initial response to the claimant within six (6) months of receiving the proof of claim form.

The proof of claim form shall require the claimant to assert his or her claim for the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing. Irrespective of the Disease Level alleged on the proof of claim form, all claims shall be deemed to be a claim for the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing, and all lower Disease Levels for which the claim may also qualify at the time of filing or in the future shall be treated as subsumed into the higher Disease Level for both processing and payment purposes. The proof of claim form also shall require the claimant to elect the Expedited Review Process, as described in Section 5.3(a) below, or the Individual Review Process, as described in Section 5.3(b) below, if such election is available under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures for the Disease Level alleged by the claimant.

Upon filing of a valid proof of claim form with the required supporting documentation, the claim shall be placed in the FIFO Processing Queue in accordance with the ordering criteria described in Section 5.1(a) above.

(a) Expedited Review Process.

(1) In General. The Asbestos PI Trust's Expedited Review Process is designed primarily to provide an expeditious, efficient and inexpensive method for liquidating all Asbestos PI Claims (except those involving Lung Cancer 2 – Disease Level VI and all Foreign Claims (as defined below)), which shall only be liquidated pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review Process) where the claim can easily be verified by the Asbestos PI Trust as meeting the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level. Expedited Review thus provides claimants with a substantially less burdensome process for pursuing Asbestos PI Claims than does the Individual Review Process described in Section 5.3(b) below. Expedited Review is also intended to provide qualifying claimants a fixed and certain claim value.

Thus, claims that undergo Expedited Review and meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level shall be paid the Scheduled Value for such Disease Level set forth in Section 5.3(a)(3) below. However, except for claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I), all claims liquidated by Expedited Review shall be subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Available Payment, and the Claims Payment Ratio limitations set forth herein. Claimants holding claims that cannot be liquidated by Expedited Review because they do not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level may elect the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review Process set forth in Section 5.3(b) below.

Subject to the provisions of Section 5.7, the claimant's eligibility to receive the Scheduled Value for his or her Asbestos PI Claim pursuant to the Expedited Review Process shall be determined solely by reference to the Medical/Exposure Criteria set forth below for each of the Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review.

(2) Claims Processing Under Expedited Review. All claimants seeking liquidation of an Asbestos PI Claim pursuant to Expedited Review shall file the Asbestos PI Trust's proof of claim form. As a proof of claim form is reached in the FIFO Processing Queue, the Asbestos PI Trust shall determine whether the claim described therein meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for one of the seven Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review, and shall advise the claimant of its determination. If the Medical/Exposure Criteria for a Disease Level are determined to have been met, the Asbestos PI Trust shall tender to the claimant an offer of payment of the Scheduled Value for the relevant Disease Level multiplied by the applicable Payment Percentage, together with a form of release approved by the Asbestos PI Trust. If the claimant accepts the Scheduled Value and returns the release properly executed, the claim shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue, following which the Asbestos PI Trust shall disburse payment subject to the limitations of the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio, if any.

(3) Disease Levels, Scheduled Values and Medical/Exposure Criteria. The eight Disease Levels covered by these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, together with the Medical/Exposure Criteria for each, and the Scheduled Values for the seven Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review, are set forth below. These Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria shall apply to all Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims filed with the Asbestos PI Trust on or before the Initial Claims Filing Date provided in Section 5.1 above for which the claimant elects the Expedited Review Process. Thereafter, for purposes of administering the Expedited Review Process and, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, the Asbestos PI Trustee may: add to, change or eliminate Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, or Medical/Exposure Criteria;

develop subcategories of Disease Levels, Scheduled Values or Medical/Exposure Criteria; or determine that a novel or exceptional Asbestos PI Claim is compensable even though it does not meet the Medical/Exposure Criteria for any of the then current Disease Levels. Because claimants seeking to recover from the Asbestos PI Trust who fall within Disease Level VI may not undergo Expedited Review and must undergo Individual Review, no Scheduled Value is provided.

Disease Level	Scheduled Values	Medical/Exposure Criteria
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$90,000	(1) Diagnosis ² of mesothelioma; and (2) Christy Exposure as defined in Section 5.7(b)(3) below.
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII)	\$40,000	(1) Diagnosis of a primary lung cancer plus evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos Related Nonmalignant Disease, ³ (2) six months Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure ⁴ to asbestos, and

² The requirements for a diagnosis of an asbestos-related disease that may be compensated under the provisions of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures are set forth in Section 5.6 below.

³ Evidence of “Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease” for purposes of meeting the criteria for establishing Disease Levels I, II, III, V, and VII, means either (i) a chest X-ray read by a qualified B reader of 1/0 or higher on the International Labour Office (“ILO”) scale or (ii)(x) a chest x-ray read by a qualified B reader or other Qualified Physician, (y) a CT scan read by a Qualified Physician, or (z) pathology, in each case showing either bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification. Evidence submitted to demonstrate (i) or (ii) above must be in the form of a written report stating the results (e.g., an ILO report, a written radiology report or a pathology report). Solely for asbestos claims filed against Christy or another defendant in the tort system prior to the Commencement Date, if an ILO reading is not available, either (i) a chest X-ray or a CT scan read by a Qualified Physician, or (ii) pathology, in each case showing bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification consistent with or compatible with a diagnosis of asbestos-related disease, shall be evidence of a Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease for purposes of meeting the presumptive medical requirements of Disease Levels I, II, III, V and VII. Pathological proof of asbestosis may be based on the pathological grading system for asbestosis described in the Special Issue of the Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, “Asbestos-associated Diseases,” Vol. 106, No. 11, App. 3 (October 8, 1982). For all purposes of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, a “Qualified Physician” is a physician who is board certified (or in the case of Canadian Claims or Foreign Claims, a physician who is certified or qualified under comparable medical standards or criteria of the jurisdiction in question) in one or more relevant specialized fields of medicine such as pulmonology, radiology, internal medicine or occupational medicine; provided, however, subject to the provisions of Section 5.8, that the requirement for board certification in this provision shall not apply to otherwise qualified physicians whose x-rays and/or CT scan readings are submitted for deceased holders of Asbestos PI Claims.

⁴ “Significant Occupational Exposure” is defined in Section 5.6(b)(2) below.

		(4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the lung cancer in question.
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	None	(1) Diagnosis of a primary lung cancer; (2) Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, and (3) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the lung cancer in question. Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI) claims are claims that do not meet the more stringent medical and/or exposure requirements of Lung Cancer (Level VII) claims. All claims in this Disease Level shall be individually evaluated. The estimated likely average of the individual evaluation awards for this category is \$20,000, with such awards capped at \$75,000 , unless the claim qualifies for Extraordinary Claim treatment (discussed in Section 5.4 below). Level VI claims that show no evidence of either an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Non-malignant Disease or Significant Occupational Exposure may be individually evaluated, although it is not expected that such claims shall be treated as having any significant value, especially if the claimant is also a Smoker. ⁵ In any event, no presumption of validity shall be available for any claims in this category.
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$20,000	(1) Diagnosis of a primary colorectal, laryngeal, esophageal, pharyngeal, or stomach cancer, plus evidence of an underlying

⁵ There is no distinction between Non-Smokers and Smokers for either Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) or Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI), although a claimant who meets the more stringent requirements of Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) (evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease plus Significant Occupational Exposure), and who is also a Non-Smoker, may wish to have his or her claim individually evaluated by the Asbestos PI Trust. In such a case, absent circumstances that would otherwise reduce the value of the claim, it is anticipated that the liquidated value of the claim might well exceed the Scheduled Value for Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII), shown above. “Non-Smoker” means a claimant who either (a) never smoked or (b) has not smoked during any portion of the twelve (12) years immediately prior to the diagnosis of the lung cancer.

		Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, (2) six months Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the other cancer in question.
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$40,000	(1) Diagnosis of asbestosis with ILO of 2/1 or greater, or asbestosis determined by pathological evidence of asbestosis, plus (a) total lung capacity ("TLC") less than 65%, or (b) forced vital capacity ("FVC") less than 65% and FEV1/FVC ratio ⁶ greater than 65%, (2) six months Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the pulmonary impairment in question.
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$9,000	(1) Diagnosis of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease plus (a) TLC less than 80%, or (b) FVC less than 80% and FEV1/FVC ratio greater than or equal to 65%, and (2) six months Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the pulmonary impairment in question.
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$4,000	(1) Diagnosis of a Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, and (2) six months Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, and (3) five years cumulative occupational

⁶ FEV1 refers to forced expiratory volume (FEV) in one second.

		exposure to asbestos.
Other Asbestos Disease (Level I Cash Discount Payment)	\$250.00	(1) Diagnosis of a Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease or an asbestos-related malignancy other than mesothelioma, and (2) Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986.

(b) Individual Review Process.

(1) In General. Subject to the provisions set forth below, a claimant may elect to have his or her Asbestos PI Claim reviewed for purposes of determining whether the claim would be compensable in the tort system even though it does not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for any of the Disease Levels set forth in Section 5.3(a)(3) above. In addition or alternatively, a claimant holding an Asbestos PI Claim involving Disease Levels II, III, IV, V, VII or VIII may elect to have a claim undergo the Individual Review Process for purposes of determining whether the liquidated value of the claim exceeds the Scheduled Value for the relevant Disease Level also set forth in said provision. However, until such time as the Asbestos PI Trust has made an offer on a claim pursuant to Individual Review, the claimant may change his or her Individual Review election and have the claim liquidated pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust’s Expedited Review Process. In the event of such a change in the processing election, the claimant shall nevertheless retain his or her place in the FIFO Processing Queue.

The liquidated value of all Foreign Claims payable under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures shall be established only under the Asbestos PI Trust’s Individual Review Process. Asbestos PI Claims of individuals exposed in Canada who were resident in Canada when such claims were filed (“Canadian Claims”) shall not be considered Foreign Claims hereunder and shall be eligible for liquidation under the Expedited Review Process. Accordingly, a “Foreign Claim” is an Asbestos PI Claim with respect to which the claimant’s

exposure to an asbestos-containing product, or to conduct that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product, for which Christy has legal responsibility occurred outside of the United States and its Territories and Possessions and outside of the Provinces and Territories of Canada.

In reviewing Foreign Claims, the Asbestos PI Trust shall take into account all relevant procedural and substantive legal rules to which the claims would be subject in the Claimant's Jurisdiction as defined in Section 5.2(b)(2) below. The Asbestos PI Trust shall determine the liquidated value of a Foreign Claim based on historical settlements and verdicts in the Claimant's Jurisdiction as well as the other valuation factors set forth in Section 5.2(b)(2) below.

For purposes of the Individual Review Process for Foreign Claims, the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, may develop separate Medical/Exposure Criteria and standards, as well as separate requirements for physician and other professional qualifications, which shall be applicable to Foreign Claims channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust; provided, however, that such criteria, standards or requirements shall not effectuate substantive changes to the claims eligibility requirements under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, but rather shall be made only for the purpose of adapting those requirements to the particular licensing provisions and/or medical customs or practices of the foreign country in question.

At such time as the Asbestos PI Trust has sufficient historical settlement, verdict and other valuation data for claims from a particular foreign jurisdiction, the Asbestos PI Trustee, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, may also establish a separate valuation matrix for any such Foreign Claims based on that data.

(A) Review of Medical/Exposure Criteria. The Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review Process provides a claimant with an opportunity for individual consideration and evaluation of an Asbestos PI Claim that fails to meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for Disease Levels I - V, VII or VIII. In such a case, the Asbestos PI Trust shall either deny the claim, or, if the Asbestos PI Trust is satisfied that the claimant has presented a claim that would be cognizable and valid in the tort system, the Asbestos PI Trust can offer the claimant a liquidated value amount up to the Scheduled Value for that Disease Level.

(B) Review of Liquidated Value. Claimants holding claims in Disease Levels II-VIII shall also be eligible to seek Individual Review of the liquidated value of their Asbestos PI Claims, as well as of their medical/exposure evidence. The Individual Review Process is intended to result in payments equal to the full liquidated value for each claim multiplied by the Payment Percentage; however, the liquidated value of any Asbestos PI Claim that undergoes Individual Review may be determined to be less than the Scheduled Value the claimant would have received under Expedited Review. Moreover, the liquidated value for a claim involving Disease Levels II- VIII shall not exceed the Maximum Value for the relevant Disease Level set forth in Section 5.3(b)(3) below, unless the claim meets the requirements of an Extraordinary Claim described in Section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum extraordinary value set forth in Section 5.4(a) for such claims. Because the detailed examination and valuation process pursuant to Individual Review requires substantial time and effort, claimants electing to undergo the Individual Review Process may be paid the liquidated value of their Asbestos PI Claims later than would have been the case had

the claimant elected the Expedited Review Process. Subject to the provisions of Section 5.8, the Asbestos PI Trust shall devote reasonable resources to the review of all claims to ensure that there is a reasonable balance maintained in reviewing all classes of claims.

(2) Valuation Factors to Be Considered in Individual Review. The Asbestos PI Trust shall liquidate the value of each Asbestos PI Claim that undergoes Individual Review based on the historic liquidated values of other similarly-situated claims in the tort system for the same Disease Level. The Asbestos PI Trust shall thus take into consideration all of the factors that affect the severity of damages and values within the tort system including, but not limited to, credible evidence of (i) the degree to which the characteristics of a claim differ from the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the Disease Level in question; (ii) factors such as the claimant's age, disability, employment status, disruption of household, family or recreational activities, dependencies, special damages, and pain and suffering; (iii) whether the claimant's damages were (or were not) caused by asbestos exposure, including exposure to an asbestos-containing product, or to conduct that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product, for which Christy has legal responsibility, prior to December 31, 1986 (for example, alternative causes, and the strength of documentation of injuries); (iv) the industry of exposure; (v) settlement and verdict histories in the Claimant's Jurisdiction for similarly-situated claims; and (vi) the greater of (a) settlement and verdict histories for the claimant's law firm for similarly situated claims or (b) settlement and verdict histories for the claimant's law firm in the claimant's jurisdiction including all cases where the claimant's law firm satisfies the Asbestos PI Trust, on the basis of clear and convincing evidence provided to the Asbestos PI Trust, that the claimant's law firm played a substantial role in the prosecution and resolution of the cases, such as actively participating in court appearances, discovery and/or trial of the cases, irrespective of

whether a second law firm was also involved and would also be entitled to include the cases in its “settlement and verdict histories.” For the avoidance of doubt, mere referral of a case, without further direct involvement, will not be viewed as having played a substantial role in the prosecution and resolution of a case. In liquidating the value of an Asbestos PI Claim that undergoes Individual Review, the Asbestos PI Trust shall treat a claimant as living if the claimant was alive at the time the initial pre-petition complaint was filed, or the proof of claim form was filed with the Asbestos PI Trust even if the claimant has subsequently died.

For these purposes, the “Claimant’s Jurisdiction” is the jurisdiction in which the claim was filed (if at all) against Christy in the tort system prior to the Commencement Date. If the claim was not filed against Christy in the tort system prior to the Commencement Date, the claimant may elect as the Claimant’s Jurisdiction either (i) the jurisdiction in which the claimant resides at the time of diagnosis or when the claim is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust; or (ii) a jurisdiction in which the claimant experienced exposure to an asbestos-containing product, or to conduct that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product, for which Christy has legal responsibility.

With respect to the “Claimant’s Jurisdiction” in the event a personal representative or authorized agent makes a claim under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures for wrongful death with respect to which the governing law of the Claimant’s Jurisdiction could only be the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute, the Claimant’s Jurisdiction for such claim shall be the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and such claimant’s damages shall be determined pursuant to the statutory and common laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania without regard to its choice of law principles. The choice of law provision in Section 7.4 below applicable to any claim with respect to which, but for this choice of law provision, the applicable law of the

Claimant's Jurisdiction pursuant to Section 5.3(b)(2) is determined to be the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute, shall only govern the rights between the Asbestos PI Trust and the claimant, and, to the extent the Asbestos PI Trust seeks recovery from any entity that provided insurance coverage to Christy, the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute shall govern.

With respect to the "Claimant's Jurisdiction" in the event a claim is made under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures for compensatory damages that would otherwise satisfy the criteria for payment under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, but the claimant is foreclosed from payment because the governing law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction (the "Foreclosed Jurisdiction") describes the claim as a claim for "exemplary" or "punitive" damages and the claimant would have no other remedy for compensation under the law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction, the claimant may elect the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as the Claimant's Jurisdiction, and such claimant's damages shall be determined pursuant to the statutory and common laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania without regard to its choice of law principles. The choice of law provision in Section 7.4 below applicable to any claim with respect to which, but for this choice of law provision, the applicable law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction pursuant to Section 5.3(b)(2) is determined to be the law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction, shall govern only the rights between the Asbestos PI Trust and the claimant, and, to the extent the Asbestos PI Trust seeks recovery from any entity that provided insurance coverage to Christy, the law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction shall govern.

(3) Scheduled, Average and Maximum Values. The Scheduled, Average and Maximum Values for claims involving Disease Levels I-VIII are the following:

Scheduled Disease	Scheduled Value	Average Value	Maximum Value
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$90,000	\$100,000	\$300,000
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII)	\$40,000	\$41,500	\$150,000
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	None	\$12,000	\$40,000
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$20,000	\$20,500	\$65,000
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$40,000	\$41,500	\$150,000
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$9,000
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
Other Asbestos Disease Cash Discount Payment (Level I)	\$250	None	None

These Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values shall apply to all Asbestos PI Trust Voting Claims filed with the Asbestos PI Trust on or before the Initial Claims Filing Date as provided in Section 5.1 above. Thereafter, the Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative pursuant to Sections 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, may change these valuation amounts for good cause and consistent with other restrictions on the amendment power.

(4) Claims Processing under Individual Review. At the conclusion of the Individual Review Process, the Asbestos PI Trust shall: (i) determine the liquidated value, if any, of the claim; and (ii) advise the claimant of its determination. If the Asbestos PI Trust establishes a liquidated value, it shall tender to the claimant an offer of payment of the aforementioned determined value multiplied by the applicable Payment Percentage, together with a form of release approved by the Asbestos PI Trust. If the claimant accepts the offer of payment and returns the release properly executed, the claim shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue, following which the Asbestos PI Trust shall disburse payment subject to the limitations of the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio, if any.

5.3. Categorizing Claims as Extraordinary and/or Exigent.

(a) Extraordinary Claims. “Extraordinary Claim” means an Asbestos PI Claim that otherwise satisfies the Medical Criteria for Disease Levels IV – VIII, and that is held by a claimant whose exposure to asbestos (i) occurred predominantly as a result of working in a facility of Christy during a period in which Christy was selling, distributing, processing, manufacturing or otherwise handling asbestos-containing product at that facility, or (ii) was at least 75% the result of exposure to asbestos-containing product, or to conduct that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product, for which Christy has legal responsibility, and in either case there is little likelihood of a substantial recovery elsewhere. All such Extraordinary Claims shall be presented for Individual Review and, if valid, shall be entitled to an award of up to a maximum extraordinary value of five (5) times the Scheduled Value set forth in Section 5.3(b)(3) for claims qualifying for Disease Levels IV – V, VII and VIII, and five (5) times the Average Value set forth in Section 5.3(b)(3) for claims in Disease Level VI, multiplied by the applicable Payment Percentage.

Any dispute as to Extraordinary Claim status shall be submitted to a special panel established by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants’ Representative (the “Extraordinary Claims Panel”). All decisions of the Extraordinary Claims Panel shall be final and not subject to any further administrative or judicial review. An Extraordinary Claim, following its liquidation, shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue ahead of all other Asbestos PI Claims, except Exigent Claims (as defined in Section 5.4(b) below), based on its date of liquidation and shall be subject to the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio described above.

(b) Exigent Claims. At any time the Asbestos PI Trust may liquidate and pay Asbestos PI Claims that qualify as Exigent Health Claims or Exigent Hardship Claims (together, “Exigent Claims”) as defined below. Exigent Claims may be considered separately under the Individual Review Process no matter what the order of processing otherwise would have been under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. An Exigent Claim, following its liquidation, shall be placed first in the FIFO Payment Queue ahead of all other Asbestos PI Claims and shall be subject to the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio described above.

(1) Exigent Health Claims. An Asbestos PI Claim qualifies for payment as an Exigent Health Claim if the claim meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for Mesothelioma (Disease Level VIII) and the claimant is living when the claim is filed. A claim in Disease Levels IV-VII qualifies as an Exigent Health Claim if the claim meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for the Disease Level, and the claimant provides a declaration or affidavit made under penalty of perjury by a physician who has examined the claimant within one hundred twenty (120) days of the date of declaration or affidavit in which the physician states (a) that there is substantial medical doubt that the claimant will survive beyond six (6) months from the date of the declaration or affidavit, and (b) that the claimant's terminal condition is caused by the relevant asbestos-related disease.

(2) Exigent Hardship Claims. An Asbestos PI Claim qualifies for payment as an Exigent Hardship Claim if the claim meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for Severe Asbestosis (Disease Level IV) or an asbestos-related malignancy (Disease Levels V – VIII), and the Asbestos PI Trust, in its sole discretion, determines (i) that the claimant needs financial assistance on an immediate basis based on the claimant’s expenses and all sources of available

income, and (ii) that there is a causal connection between the claimant's dire financial condition and the claimant's asbestos-related disease.

5.4. Secondary Exposure Claims. If a claimant alleges an asbestos-related disease resulting solely from exposure to an occupationally exposed person, such as a family member, the claimant must seek Individual Review of his or her claim pursuant to Section 5.2(b) above. In such a case, the claimant must establish that the occupationally exposed person would have met the exposure requirements under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures that would have been applicable had that person filed a direct claim against the Asbestos PI Trust. In addition, the claimant with secondary exposure must establish that he or she is suffering from one of the eight Disease Levels described in Section 5.3(a)(3) above or an asbestos-related disease otherwise compensable under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, that his or her own exposure to the occupationally exposed person occurred within the same time frame as the occupationally exposed person was exposed to asbestos-containing products or conduct for which Christy has legal responsibility, and that such secondary exposure was a cause of the claimed disease. All other liquidation and payment rights and limitations under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures shall be applicable to such claims.

5.5. Indirect Asbestos PI Claims. Indirect Asbestos PI Claims asserted against the Asbestos PI Trust shall be treated as presumptively valid and paid by the Asbestos PI Trust subject to the applicable Payment Percentage if (a) such claim satisfied the requirements of the Bar Date for such claims established by the Bankruptcy Court, if applicable, and is not otherwise disallowed by Section 502(e) of the Code or subordinated under Section 509(c) of the Code, and (b) the holder of such claim (the "Indirect Claimant") establishes to the satisfaction of the Asbestos PI Trustee that (i) the Indirect Claimant has paid in full the liability and obligation of

the Asbestos PI Trust to the individual claimant to whom the Asbestos PI Trust would otherwise have had a liability or obligation under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures (the “Direct Claimant”), (ii) the Direct Claimant and the Indirect Claimant have forever and fully released the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos Protected Parties from all liability to the Direct Claimant and the Indirect Claimant, and (iii) the claim is not otherwise barred by a statute of limitations or repose or by other applicable law. In no event shall any Indirect Claimant have any rights against the Asbestos PI Trust superior to the rights of the related Direct Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust, including any rights with respect to the timing, amount or manner of payment. In addition, no Indirect Asbestos PI Claim may be liquidated and paid in an amount that exceeds what the Indirect Claimant has actually paid the related Direct Claimant in respect of such Direct Claimant’s claim for which the Asbestos PI Trust would have liability.

To establish a presumptively valid Indirect Asbestos PI Claim, the Indirect Claimant’s aggregate liability for the Direct Claimant’s claim must also have been fixed, liquidated and paid fully by the Indirect Claimant by settlement (with an appropriate full release in favor of the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos Protected Parties) or a Final Order provided that such claim is valid under the applicable state law. In any case where the Indirect Claimant has satisfied the claim of a Direct Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust under applicable law by way of a settlement, the Indirect Claimant shall obtain for the benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos Protected Parties a release in form and substance satisfactory to the Asbestos PI Trustee.

If an Indirect Claimant cannot meet the presumptive requirements set forth above, including the requirement that the Indirect Claimant provide the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos Protected Parties with a full release of the Direct Claimant’s claim, the Indirect Claimant may request that the Asbestos PI Trust review the Indirect Asbestos PI Claim

individually to determine whether the Indirect Claimant can establish under applicable state law that the Indirect Claimant has paid all or a portion of a liability or obligation that the Asbestos PI Trust had to the Direct Claimant as of the Effective Date of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. If the Indirect Claimant can show that it has paid all or a portion of such a liability or obligation, the Asbestos PI Trust shall reimburse the Indirect Claimant the amount of the liability or obligation so paid, times the then applicable Payment Percentage. However, in no event shall such reimbursement to the Indirect Claimant be greater than the amount to which the Direct Claimant would have otherwise been entitled under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. Further, the liquidated value of any Indirect Asbestos PI Claim paid by the Asbestos PI Trust to an Indirect Claimant shall be treated as an offset to or reduction of the full liquidated value of any Asbestos PI Claim that might be subsequently asserted by the Direct Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust.

Any dispute between the Asbestos PI Trust and an Indirect Claimant over whether the Indirect Claimant has a right to reimbursement for any amount paid to a Direct Claimant shall be subject to the ADR Procedures. If such dispute is not resolved under the ADR Procedures, the Indirect Claimant may litigate the dispute in the tort system pursuant to Sections 5.10 and 7.6 below.

The Asbestos PI Trustee may develop and approve a separate proof of claim form for Indirect Asbestos PI Claims as provided in Section 6.1 below. Indirect Asbestos PI Claims that have not been disallowed, discharged, or otherwise resolved by prior order of the Bankruptcy Court shall be processed in accordance with procedures to be developed and implemented by the Asbestos PI Trustee consistent with the provisions of this Section 5.5, which procedures (a) shall determine the validity, allowability and enforceability of such claims; and (b) shall otherwise

provide the same liquidation and payment procedures and rights to the holders of such claims as the Asbestos PI Trust would have afforded the holders of the underlying valid Asbestos PI Claims. Nothing in these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures is intended to preclude a trust to which asbestos-related liabilities are channeled from asserting an Indirect Asbestos PI Claim against the Asbestos PI Trust subject to the requirements set forth herein.

5.6. Evidentiary Requirements.

(a) Medical Evidence.

(1) In General. All diagnoses of a Disease Level shall be accompanied by either (i) a statement by the physician providing the diagnosis that at least 10 years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products and the diagnosis, or (ii) a history of the claimant's exposure sufficient to establish a 10-year latency period.⁷

(A) Disease Levels I – IV. Except for asbestos claims filed against Christy or any other defendant in the tort system prior to the Commencement Date, all diagnoses of a non-malignant asbestos-related disease (Disease Levels I – IV) shall be based in the case of a claimant who was living at the time the claim was filed, upon a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease. All living claimants must also provide: (i) for Disease Levels I – III, evidence of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease (as defined in Footnote 3 above), (ii) for Disease Level IV, an ILO reading of 2/1 or greater or pathological evidence of asbestosis; and (iii) for Disease Levels III and IV, pulmonary

⁷ All diagnoses of Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Disease Levels II and III) not based on pathology shall be presumed to be based on findings of bilateral asbestosis or pleural disease, and all diagnoses of Mesothelioma (Disease Level VIII) shall be presumed to be based on findings that the disease involves a malignancy. However, the Asbestos PI Trust may refute such presumptions.

function testing.⁸ A finding by a physician after the Effective Date that a claimant's disease is "consistent with" or "compatible with" asbestosis will not alone be treated by the Asbestos PI Trust as a diagnosis.

In the case of a claimant who was deceased at the time the claim was filed, all diagnoses of a non-malignant asbestos-related disease (Disease Levels I – IV) shall be based upon either:

(i) a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease; or (ii) pathological evidence of the non-malignant asbestos-related disease; or (iii) in the case of Disease Levels I – III, evidence of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease (as defined in Footnote 3 above), and for Disease Level IV, either an ILO reading of 2/1 or greater or pathological evidence of asbestosis; or (iv) for either Disease Level III or IV, pulmonary function testing.

(B) Disease Levels V – VIII. All diagnoses of an asbestos-related malignancy (Disease Levels V – VIII) shall be based upon either (i) a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease, or (ii) a diagnosis of such a malignant Disease Level by a board-certified pathologist or by a pathology report prepared at or on behalf of a hospital accredited by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations ("JCAHO").

⁸ "Pulmonary function testing" or "PFT" shall mean testing that is in material compliance with the quality criteria established by the American Thoracic Society ("ATS") and is performed on equipment which is in material compliance with ATS standards for technical quality and calibration. PFT performed in a hospital accredited by the JCAHO (as defined in Section 5.7(a)(1)(B) below), or performed, reviewed or supervised by a board certified pulmonologist or other Qualified Physician shall be presumed to comply with ATS standards, and the claimant may submit a summary report of the testing. If the PFT was not performed in a JCAHO-accredited hospital, or performed, reviewed or supervised by a board certified pulmonologist or other Qualified Physician, the claimant must submit the full report of the testing (as opposed to a summary report); provided, however, that if the PFT was conducted prior to the Effective Date of the Plan and the full PFT report is not available, the claimant must submit a declaration signed by a Qualified Physician or other party who is qualified to make a certification regarding the PFT, in the form provided by the Asbestos PI Trust, certifying that the PFT was conducted in material compliance with ATS standards.

(C) Exception to the Exception for Certain Pre-Petition Asbestos PI Claims. If the holder of an Asbestos PI Claim that was filed against Christy or any other defendant in the tort system prior to the Commencement Date has available a report of a diagnosing physician engaged by the holder or his or her law firm who conducted a physical examination of the holder as described in Section 5.6(a)(1)(A), or if the holder has filed such medical evidence and/or a diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease by a physician not engaged by the holder or his or her law firm who conducted a physical examination of the holder with another asbestos-related personal injury settlement trust that requires such evidence, without regard to whether the claimant or the law firm engaged the diagnosing physician, the holder shall provide such medical evidence to the Asbestos PI Trust notwithstanding the exception in Section 5.6(a)(1)(A).

(2) Credibility of Medical Evidence. Before making any payment to a claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust must have reasonable confidence that the medical evidence provided in support of the claim is credible and consistent with recognized medical standards. The Asbestos PI Trust may require the submission of X-rays, CT scans, detailed results of pulmonary function tests, laboratory tests, tissue samples, results of medical examination or reviews of other medical evidence, and may require that medical evidence submitted comply with recognized medical standards regarding equipment, testing methods and procedures to assure that such evidence is reliable. Medical evidence (i) that is of a kind shown to have been received in evidence by a state or federal judge at trial, (ii) that is consistent with evidence submitted to Christy to settle for payment similar disease cases prior to Christy's bankruptcy, or (iii) that is a diagnosis by a physician shown to have previously qualified as a medical expert with respect to the asbestos-related disease in question before a state or federal judge using the

same methodology and standard, is presumptively reliable, although the Asbestos PI Trust may seek to rebut the presumption. Notwithstanding the foregoing or any other provision of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, any medical evidence submitted by a physician or entity that the Asbestos PI Trust has determined, after consulting with the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, to be unreliable shall not be acceptable as medical evidence in support of any Asbestos PI Claim.

In addition, claimants who otherwise meet the requirements of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures for payment of an Asbestos PI Claim shall be paid irrespective of the results in any litigation at any time between the claimant and any other defendant in the tort system. However, any relevant evidence submitted in a proceeding in the tort system, other than any findings of fact, a verdict, or a judgment, involving another defendant may be introduced by either the claimant or the Asbestos PI Trust in any Individual Review proceeding conducted pursuant to 5.2(b) or any Extraordinary Claim proceeding conducted pursuant to 5.3(a).

(b) Exposure Evidence.

(1) In General. As set forth above in Section 5.2(a)(3), to qualify for any Disease Level, the claimant must demonstrate a minimum exposure to asbestos-containing products, or to conduct that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product, for which Christy has legal responsibility. Claims based on conspiracy theories that involve no exposure to an asbestos-containing product sold, distributed, marketed, handled, processed or manufactured by Christy are not compensable under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. To meet the presumptive exposure requirements of Expedited Review set forth in Section 5.2(a)(3) above, the claimant must show: (i) for all Disease Levels, Christy Exposure as defined in Section 5.6(b)(3) below prior to December 31, 1986; (ii) for Asbestos/Pleural Disease Level II, six (6)

months Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, plus five (5) years cumulative occupational asbestos exposure; and (iii) for Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Disease Level III), Severe Asbestosis (Disease Level IV), Other Cancer (Disease Level V) or Lung Cancer 1 (Disease Level VII), the claimant must show six (6) months of Christy Exposure prior to December 31, 1986, plus Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos as defined below. If the claimant cannot meet the relevant presumptive exposure requirements for a Disease Level eligible for Expedited Review, the claimant may seek Individual Review of his or her claim based on exposure to asbestos-containing products, or to conduct that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product, for which Christy has legal responsibility.

(2) Significant Occupational Exposure. “Significant Occupational Exposure” means employment for a cumulative period of at least five (5) years, with a minimum of two (2) years prior to December 31, 1986, in an industry and an occupation in which the claimant (a) handled raw asbestos fibers on a regular basis; (b) fabricated asbestos-containing products so that the claimant in the fabrication process was exposed on a regular basis to raw asbestos fibers; (c) altered, repaired or otherwise worked with an asbestos-containing product such that the claimant was exposed on a regular basis to asbestos fibers; or (d) was employed in an industry and occupation such that the claimant worked on a regular basis in close proximity to workers engaged in the activities described in (a), (b) and/or (c).

(3) Christy Exposure. The claimant must demonstrate meaningful and credible exposure, which occurred prior to December 31, 1986, (a) to an asbestos-containing product sold, distributed, marketed, handled, processed or manufactured by Christy or for which Christy otherwise has legal responsibility or (b) to conduct for which Christy has legal responsibility that exposed the claimant to an asbestos-containing product (collectively, “Christy

Exposure”). That meaningful and credible exposure evidence may be established by an affidavit or sworn statement of the claimant, by an affidavit or sworn statement of a co-worker or the affidavit or sworn statement of a family member in the case of a deceased claimant (providing the Asbestos PI Trust finds such evidence reasonably reliable), by invoices, employment, construction or similar records, or by other credible evidence. The specific exposure information required by the Asbestos PI Trust to process a claim under either Expedited or Individual Review shall be set forth on the proof of claim form to be used by the Asbestos PI Trust. The Asbestos PI Trust can also require submission of other or additional evidence of exposure when it deems such to be necessary.

Evidence submitted to establish proof of Christy Exposure is for the sole benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust, not third parties or defendants in the tort system. The Asbestos PI Trust has no need for, and therefore claimants are not required to furnish the Asbestos PI Trust, with evidence of exposure to specific asbestos products other than those for which Christy has legal responsibility, except to the extent such evidence is required elsewhere in these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. Similarly, failure to identify Christy Exposure in the claimant’s underlying tort action, or to other bankruptcy trusts, does not preclude the claimant from recovering from the Asbestos PI Trust, provided the claimant satisfies the medical and exposure requirements of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures.

5.7. Claims Audit Program. The Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants’ Representative may develop methods for auditing the reliability of medical evidence, including additional reading of X-rays, CT scans and verification of pulmonary function tests, as well as the reliability of evidence of exposure to asbestos, including Christy Exposure, prior to December 31, 1986. In the event that the Asbestos

PI Trust reasonably determines that any individual or entity has engaged in a pattern or practice of providing unreliable medical evidence, it may decline to accept additional evidence from such provider in the future.

Further, in the event that an audit reveals that fraudulent information has been provided to the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trust may penalize any claimant or claimant's attorney by disallowing the Asbestos PI Claim and/or by other means including, but not limited to, requiring the source of the fraudulent information to pay the costs associated with the audit and any future audit or audits, reordering the priority of payment of all affected claimants' Asbestos PI Claims, raising the level of scrutiny of additional information submitted from the same source or sources, refusing to accept additional evidence from the same source or sources, seeking the prosecution of the claimant or claimant's attorney for presenting a fraudulent claim in violation of 18 U.S.C. §152, and seeking sanctions from the Bankruptcy Court.

5.8. Second Disease (Malignancy) Claims. The holder of an Asbestos PI Claim involving a non-malignant asbestos-related disease (Disease Levels I through IV) may assert a new Asbestos PI Claim against the Asbestos PI Trust for a malignant disease (Disease Levels V - VIII) that is subsequently diagnosed. Any additional payments to which such claimant may be entitled with respect to such malignant asbestos-related disease shall not be reduced by the amount paid for the non-malignant asbestos-related disease, provided that the malignant disease had not been diagnosed at the time the claimant was paid with respect to his or her original claim involving the non-malignant disease.

5.9. Arbitration.

(a) Establishment of ADR Procedures. The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, shall

develop and adopt the ADR Procedures, which shall provide for pro-bono evaluation, mediation and binding or non-binding arbitration to resolve disputes concerning whether the Asbestos PI Trust's outright rejection or denial of a claim was proper, or whether the claimant's medical condition or exposure history meets the requirements of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures for purposes of categorizing a claim involving Disease Levels I – VIII. Proceedings under the ADR Procedures shall also be available for resolving disputes over the liquidated value of a claim involving Disease Levels II – VIII, as well as disputes over the validity of an Indirect Asbestos PI Claim.

In all arbitrations, the arbitrator shall consider the same medical and exposure evidentiary requirements that are set forth in Section 5.6 above. In the case of an arbitration involving the liquidated value of a claim involving Disease Levels II – VIII, the arbitrator shall consider the same valuation factors that are set forth in Section 5.2(b)(2) above. In order to facilitate the Individual Review Process, the Asbestos PI Trust may from time to time develop a valuation model that enables the Asbestos PI Trust to efficiently make initial liquidated value offers in the Individual Review Process. If the Asbestos PI Trust provides all data used to create the model to the claimant or his or her counsel not less than ten (10) days prior to the arbitration proceeding, the Asbestos PI Trust may (i) offer into evidence any such data and (ii) explain to the arbitrator that, in valuing the claim, the Asbestos PI Trust used a model based upon such underlying data. The Asbestos PI Trust may not offer into evidence or describe (except as provided in the preceding sentence) the model nor assert that any information generated by the model has any evidentiary relevance or should be used by the arbitrator in determining the presumed correct liquidated value in the arbitration. The claimant and his or her counsel may use the data that is provided by the Asbestos PI Trust in the arbitration and shall agree to otherwise maintain the

confidentiality of such information. Any disputes regarding confidentiality shall be resolved by the arbitrator.

With respect to all claims eligible for arbitration, the claimant, but not the Asbestos PI Trust, may elect either non-binding or binding arbitration. The ADR Procedures may be modified by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative. Such amendments may include the establishment of an Extraordinary Claims Panel to review such claims pursuant to Section 5.3(a) above.

(b) Claims Eligible for Arbitration. In order to be eligible for arbitration, the claimant must first complete the Individual Review Process set forth in Section 5.2(b) above, as well as the pro bono evaluation or mediation process set forth in the ADR Procedures, with respect to the disputed issue. Individual Review shall be treated as completed for these purposes when the claim has been individually reviewed by the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trust has made an offer on the claim, the claimant has rejected the liquidated value resulting from the Individual Review, and the claimant has notified the Asbestos PI Trust of the rejection in writing. Individual Review will also be treated as completed if the Asbestos PI Trust has rejected the claim.

(c) Limitations on and Payment of Arbitration Awards. In the case of claims involving Disease Level I, the arbitrator shall not return an award in excess of the Scheduled Value for such claims. In the case of a non-Extraordinary Claim involving Disease Levels II – VIII, the arbitrator shall not return an award in excess of the Maximum Value for the appropriate Disease Level as set forth in Section 5.2(b)(4) above, and for an Extraordinary Claim involving one of those Disease Levels, the arbitrator shall not return an award greater than the maximum

extraordinary value for such a claim as set forth in Section 5.3(a) above. A claimant who submits to arbitration and who accepts the arbitral award will receive payments in the same manner as one who accepts the Asbestos PI Trust's original valuation of the claim.

5.10. Litigation. Claimants who elect non-binding arbitration and then reject their arbitral awards retain the right to institute a lawsuit in the tort system against the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to Section 7.6 below. However, a claimant shall be eligible for payment of a judgment for monetary damages obtained in the tort system from the Asbestos PI Trust's available cash only as provided in Section 7.7 below.

SECTION VI Claims Materials

6.1. Claims Materials. The Asbestos PI Trust shall prepare suitable and efficient claims materials ("Claims Materials") for all Asbestos PI Claims, and shall provide such Claims Materials upon a written request for such materials to the Asbestos PI Trust. In addition, a separate claim form for Indirect Asbestos PI Claims may be developed. The proof of claim form to be submitted to the PI Trust shall require the claimant to assert the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing. The proof of claim form shall also include a certification by the claimant or his or her attorney sufficient to meet the requirements of Rule 11(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In developing its claim filing procedures, the Asbestos PI Trust shall make every effort to provide claimants with the opportunity to utilize currently available technology at their discretion, including filing claims and supporting documentation over the internet and electronically by disk or CD-ROM. The proof of claim form to be used by the Asbestos PI Trust shall be developed by the Asbestos PI Trust and submitted to the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants'

Representative for approval; it may be changed by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative.

6.2. Content of Claims Materials. The Claims Materials shall include a copy of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, such instructions as the Asbestos PI Trustee shall approve, and a detailed proof of claim form. If feasible, the forms used by the Asbestos PI Trust to obtain claims information shall be the same or substantially similar to those used by other asbestos claims resolution organizations. If requested by the claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust shall accept information provided electronically. The claimant may, but shall not be required to, provide the Asbestos PI Trust with evidence of recovery from other asbestos defendants and claims resolution organizations.

6.3. Withdrawal or Deferral of Claims. A claimant can withdraw an Asbestos PI Claim at any time upon written notice to the Asbestos PI Trust and file another claim subsequently without affecting the status of the claim for statute of limitations purposes, but any such claim filed after withdrawal shall be given a place in the FIFO Processing Queue based on the date of such subsequent filing. A claimant can also request that the processing of his or her Asbestos PI Claim by the Asbestos PI Trust be deferred for a period not to exceed three (3) years without affecting the status of the claim for statute of limitations purposes, in which case the claimant shall also retain his or her original place in the FIFO Processing Queue. During the period of such deferral, a sequencing adjustment on such claimant's Asbestos PI Claim as provided in Section 7.5 hereunder shall not accrue and payment thereof shall be deemed waived by the claimant. Except for Asbestos PI Claims held by representatives of deceased or incompetent claimants for which court or probate approval of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer is required, or an Asbestos PI Claim for which deferral status has been granted, a claim shall be

deemed to have been withdrawn if the claimant neither accepts, rejects, nor initiates arbitration within six (6) months of the Asbestos PI Trust's written offer of payment or rejection of the claim. Upon written request and good cause, the Asbestos PI Trust may extend the withdrawal or deferral period for an additional six (6) months.

6.4. Filing Requirements and Fees. The Asbestos PI Trustee shall have the discretion to determine, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, (a) whether a claimant must have previously filed an asbestos-related personal injury claim in the tort system to be eligible to file the claim with the Asbestos PI Trust and (b) whether a filing fee should be required for any Asbestos PI Claims. At present, the Asbestos PI Trust requires the payment of a \$20.00 filing fee within sixty (60) days following submission of any Asbestos PI Claim. The \$20.00 filing fee is refundable upon allowance of the Asbestos PI Claim. Failure to pay, in a timely fashion, the filing fee required by the Asbestos PI Trust will result in the rejection of the Asbestos PI Claim(s) submitted.

6.5. Confidentiality of Claimants' Submissions. All submissions to the Asbestos PI Trust by a holder of an Asbestos PI Claim, including the proof of claim form and materials related thereto, shall be treated as made in the course of settlement discussions between the holder and the Asbestos PI Trust and intended by the parties to be confidential and to be protected by all applicable state and federal privileges, including, but not limited to, those directly applicable to settlement discussions. The Asbestos PI Trust shall preserve the confidentiality of such claimant submissions, and shall disclose the contents thereof only, with the permission of the holder, to another trust established for the benefit of asbestos personal injury claimants pursuant to Section 524(g) and/or Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable law, to such other persons as authorized by the holder, or in response to a valid

subpoena from the Bankruptcy Court or a Delaware state or federal court. Furthermore, the Asbestos PI Trust shall provide counsel for the holder a copy of any such subpoena immediately upon being served. The Asbestos PI Trust shall on its own initiative or upon request of the claimant in question take all necessary and appropriate steps to preserve any and all privileges.

SECTION VII
General Guidelines for Liquidating and Paying Claims

7.1. Showing Required. To establish a valid Asbestos PI Claim, other than a Qualified Asbestos PI Claim, a claimant must meet the requirements set forth in these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. The Asbestos PI Trust may require the submission of X-rays, CT scans, laboratory tests, medical examinations or reviews, other medical evidence, or any other evidence to support or verify an Asbestos PI Claim, other than a Qualified Asbestos PI Claim, and may further require that medical evidence submitted comply with recognized medical standards regarding equipment, testing methods, and procedures to assure that such evidence is reliable.

7.2. Costs Considered. Notwithstanding any provisions of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures to the contrary, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall always give appropriate consideration to the cost of investigating and uncovering invalid Asbestos PI Claims so that the payment of valid Asbestos PI Claims is not further impaired by such processes with respect to issues related to the validity of the medical evidence supporting an Asbestos PI Claim. The Asbestos PI Trustee shall also have the latitude to make judgments regarding the amount of transaction costs to be expended by the Asbestos PI Trust so that valid Asbestos PI Claims are not unduly further impaired by the costs of additional investigation. Nothing herein shall prevent the Asbestos PI Trustee, in appropriate circumstances, from contesting the validity of any claim against the Asbestos PI Trust whatever the costs, or declining to accept medical evidence from

sources that the Asbestos PI Trustee has determined to be unreliable pursuant to the Claims Audit Program described in Section 5.7 above.

7.3. Discretion to Vary the Order and Amounts of Payments in Event of Limited Liquidity. Consistent with the provisions hereof and subject to the FIFO Processing Queue and the FIFO Payment Queue, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment and the Claims Payment Ratio requirements set forth above, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall proceed as quickly as possible to liquidate valid Asbestos PI Claims, and shall make payments to holders of such claims in accordance with these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures promptly as funds become available and as claims are liquidated, while maintaining sufficient resources to pay future valid claims in substantially the same manner.

Because the Asbestos PI Trust's income over time remains uncertain, and decisions about payments must be based on estimates that cannot be done precisely, they may have to be revised in light of experiences over time, and there can be no guarantee of any specific level of payment to claimants. However, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall use his or her best efforts to treat similar claims in substantially the same manner, consistent with his or her duties as Asbestos PI Trustee, the purposes of the Asbestos PI Trust, the established allocation of funds to claims in Categories A and B, and the practical limitations imposed by the inability to predict the future with precision. In the event that the Asbestos PI Trust faces temporary periods of limited liquidity, the Asbestos PI Trustee may, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, suspend the normal order of payment and may temporarily limit or suspend payments altogether, and may offer a Reduced Payment Option as described in Section 2.5 above.

7.4. Punitive Damages. Except as provided below for claims asserted under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute or for claims asserted by a claimant for compensatory damages that would otherwise satisfy the criteria for payment under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, but the claimant is foreclosed from payment because the governing law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction (as defined in Section 5.2(b)(2) above) describes the claim as a claim for “exemplary” or “punitive” damages and the claimant would have no other remedy for compensation under the law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction, in determining the value of any liquidated or unliquidated Asbestos PI Claim, punitive or exemplary damages, *i.e.*, damages other than compensatory damages, shall not be considered or allowed, notwithstanding their availability in the tort system. Similarly, no punitive or exemplary damages shall be payable with respect to any claim litigated against the Asbestos PI Trust in the tort system pursuant to Sections 5.10 above and 7.6 below. The only damages that may be awarded pursuant to these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures to Alabama Claimants who are deceased and whose personal representatives pursue their claims only under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute shall be compensatory damages determined pursuant to the statutory and common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, without regard to its choice of law principles. The choice of law provision in Section 7.4 herein applicable to any claim with respect to which, but for this choice of law provision, the applicable law of the Claimant’s Jurisdiction pursuant to Section 5.2(b)(2) is determined to be the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute, shall only govern the rights between the Asbestos PI Trust and the claimant including, but not limited to, suits in the tort system pursuant to Section 7.6, and to the extent the Asbestos PI Trust seeks recovery from any entity that provided insurance to Christy, the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute shall govern.

The only damages that may be awarded pursuant to these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures for claims asserted by a claimant for compensatory damages that would otherwise satisfy the criteria for payment under these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, but the claimant is foreclosed from payment because the governing law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction describes the claim as a claim for “exemplary” or “punitive” damages and the claimant would have no other remedy for compensation under the law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction, shall be compensatory damages determined pursuant to the statutory and common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, without regard to its choice of law principles. The choice of law provision in Section 7.4 herein applicable to any claim with respect to which, but for this choice of law provision, the applicable law of the Claimant’s Jurisdiction pursuant to Section 5.2(b)(2) is determined to be the law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction, shall govern only the rights between the Asbestos PI Trust and the claimant including, but not limited to, suits in the tort system pursuant to Section 7.6, and to the extent the Asbestos PI Trust seeks recovery from any entity that provided insurance to Christy, the law of the Foreclosed Jurisdiction shall govern.

7.5. Sequencing Adjustments.

(a) In General. Except for Asbestos PI Claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I – Cash Discount Payment) and subject to the limitations set forth below, a sequencing adjustment shall be paid on all Asbestos PI Claims with respect to which the claimant has had to wait a year or more for payment, provided, however, that no claimant shall receive a sequencing adjustment for a period in excess of seven (7) years on an unliquidated Asbestos PI Claim or in excess of one (1) year on a Qualified Asbestos PI Claim. The sequencing adjustment factor shall be 4.5% per annum for each of the first five (5) years after the Effective Date; thereafter, the Asbestos PI Trust shall have the discretion to change the annual

sequencing adjustment factor with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative.

(b) Unliquidated Asbestos PI Claims. A sequencing adjustment shall be payable on the Scheduled Value of any unliquidated Asbestos PI Claim that meets the requirements of Disease Levels II – V, VII and VIII, whether the claim is liquidated under Expedited Review, Individual Review, or by arbitration. No sequencing adjustment shall be paid on any claim involving Disease Level I or on any claim liquidated in the tort system pursuant to Section 5.11 above and Section 7.6 below. The sequencing adjustment on an unliquidated Asbestos PI Claim that meets the requirements of Disease Level VI shall be based on the Average Value of such a claim. Sequencing adjustments on all such unliquidated claims shall be measured from the date of payment back to the date that is one (1) year after the date on which the claim was placed in the FIFO Payment Queue, subject to the limitation that no claimant shall receive a sequencing adjustment for a period in excess of seven (7) years.

(c) Qualified Asbestos PI Claims. A sequencing adjustment shall be payable on a Qualified Asbestos PI Claim only if such Qualified Asbestos PI Claim is paid after the date that is one (1) year after the Commencement Date. Sequencing adjustments on Qualified Asbestos PI Claims shall be measured from the date of payment back to the date that is one (1) year after the Commencement Date, subject to the limitation that no claimant shall receive a sequencing adjustment for a period in excess of one (1) year.

7.6. Suits in the Tort System. If the holder of a disputed claim disagrees with the Asbestos PI Trust's determination regarding the Disease Level of the claim, the claimant's exposure history or the liquidated value of the claim, and if the holder has first submitted the claim to non-binding arbitration as provided in Section 5.9 above, the holder may file a lawsuit

in the Claimant's Jurisdiction as defined in Section 5.2(b)(2) above. Any such lawsuit must be filed by the claimant in his or her own right and name and not as a member or representative of a class, and no such lawsuit may be consolidated with any other lawsuit. All defenses (including, with respect to the Asbestos PI Trust, all defenses which could have been asserted by Christy) shall be available to both sides at trial; however, the Asbestos PI Trust may waive any defense and/or concede any issue of fact or law. If the claimant was alive at the time the initial pre-petition complaint was filed, the claim was submitted to the Claims Reviewer in the Pre-Effective Date Claims Review process or the proof of claim form was filed with the Asbestos PI Trust, the case shall be treated as a personal injury case with all personal injury damages to be considered even if the claimant has died during the pendency of the claim.

7.7. Payment of Judgments for Money Damages. If and when a claimant obtains a judgment in the tort system, the claim shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue based on the date on which the judgment became final. Thereafter, the claimant shall receive from the Asbestos PI Trust an initial payment (subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Available Payment, and the Claims Payment Ratio provisions set forth above) of an amount equal to the greater of (i) the Asbestos PI Trust's last offer to the claimant or (ii) the award that the claimant declined in non-binding arbitration; provided, however, that in no event shall such payment amount exceed the amount of the judgment obtained in the tort system. The claimant shall receive the balance of the judgment, if any, in five (5) equal installments in years six (6) through ten (10) following the year of the initial payment (also subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Available Payment and the Claims Payment Ratio provisions above in effect on the date of the payment of the subject installment).

In the case of claims involving Disease Level I, the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the Scheduled Value for such claims. In the case of non-Extraordinary claims involving Disease Levels II-VIII, the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the Maximum Values for such Disease Levels set forth in Section 5.2(b)(3). In the case of Extraordinary Claims, the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the maximum extraordinary values for such claims set forth in Section 5.3 above. Under no circumstances shall (a) sequencing adjustments be paid pursuant to Section 7.5 or (b) interest be paid under any statute on any judgments obtained in the tort system.

7.8. Releases. The Asbestos PI Trustee shall have the discretion to determine the form and substance of the releases to be provided to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos Protected Parties in order to maximize recovery for claimants against other tortfeasors without increasing the risk or amount of claims for indemnification or contribution from the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos Protected Parties with respect to the Asbestos PI Claim. As a condition to making any payment to a claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust shall obtain, for the benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos Protected Parties, a general, partial, or limited release as appropriate in accordance with the applicable state or other law. If allowed by state law, the endorsing of a check or draft for payment by or on behalf of a claimant may, in the discretion of the Asbestos PI Trust, constitute such a release.

7.9. Third-Party Services. Nothing in these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures shall preclude the Asbestos PI Trust from contracting with another asbestos claims resolution organization to provide services to the Asbestos PI Trust so long as decisions about the categorization and liquidated value of Asbestos PI Claims are based on the relevant provisions of

these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures, including the Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, Average Values, Maximum Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria set forth above.

7.10. Asbestos PI Trust Disclosure of Information. Periodically, but not less often than once a year, the Asbestos PI Trust shall make available to claimants and other interested parties, the number of claims by Disease Levels that have been resolved both by the Individual Review Process and by arbitration as well as by litigation in the tort system indicating the amounts of the awards and the averages of the awards by jurisdiction.

SECTION VIII Miscellaneous

8.1. Amendments. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Asbestos PI Trustee may amend, modify, delete, or add to any provisions of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures (including, without limitation, amendments to conform these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures to advances in scientific or medical knowledge or other changes in circumstances), provided he or she first obtains the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative pursuant to the Consent Process set forth in Sections 5.6(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, except that the right to amend the Claims Payment Ratio is governed by the restrictions in Section 2.5 above, and the right to adjust the Payment Percentage is governed by Section 4.2 above. Nothing herein is intended to preclude the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee or the Future Claimants' Representative from proposing to the Asbestos PI Trustee, in writing, amendments to these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures. Any amendment proposed by the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee or the Future Claimants' Representative shall remain subject to Section 7.3 of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

8.2. Severability. Should any provision contained in these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures be determined to be unenforceable, such determination shall in no way limit or affect the enforceability and operative effect of any and all other provisions of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures.

8.3. Governing Law. Except for purposes of determining the liquidated value of any Asbestos PI Claim, administration of these Asbestos PI Trust Distribution Procedures shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Delaware. The law governing the liquidation of Asbestos PI Claims in the case of Individual Review, mediation, arbitration or litigation in the tort system shall be the law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction as described in Section 5.2(b)(2) above.

8.4. Merger of Asbestos PI Trust Assets with Other Trusts. In order to efficiently administer the Asbestos PI Trust Assets, the Asbestos PI Trustee may determine, with the consent of the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee and the Future Claimants' Representative, to combine or merge the Asbestos PI Trust Assets with another trust or trusts established under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. In such an event, the Asbestos PI Trustee shall be permitted to obtain claims information maintained by such other 524(g) trusts.